

Massachusetts Coronavirus Tracker: Reopening; Legislative Update; Commissioner of Banks Webinar; NCUA Update; CFPB, FinCEN Update; PPP Update; Power of Attorney Update – 7.11.20

Reopening

Revised Office Space Guidance

As Massachusetts has moved into Phase III of its reopening plan, a new mandatory safety standard for office spaces and others was included: screening employees for COVID-19 or close contact at the beginning of each shift. While the Office Spaces Safety Standards are geared toward office workplaces, it has been interpreted to encompass an employer's obligations to both employees and visitors to the office.

Employers are encouraged to have workers continue to work from home if feasible. With respect to social distancing in the office space, each office must monitor entry and exit points and limit occupancy to the greater of the following: (i) 50% of the building's maximum permitted occupancy; (ii) 10 persons (including staff) per 1,000 square feet of accessible spaces for buildings that have no permitted occupancy limitation on record; and (iii) in any case, no enclosed space within the facility may exceed 10 persons per 1,000 square feet. The occupancy calculations need to take into account customers, staff and other workers. This occupancy restriction may still be exceeded if the business can demonstrate a need for relief. Physical partitions separating workstations must be installed for areas that cannot be spaced out, and such partitions must be at least 6 feet in height. The hygiene protocols now specify that alcohol-based hand sanitizers with at least 60% alcohol should be made available at entrances and throughout the floor areas for workers. Massachusetts requires that office spaces must screen employees at the beginning of each shift by:

1. Ensuring the employee is not experiencing any COVID-19 symptoms such as fever (100.0° Fahrenheit and above) or chills, cough, shortness of breath, sore throat, fatigue, headache, muscle/body aches, runny nose/congestion, new loss of taste or smell, or nausea, vomiting or diarrhea;
2. Ensuring the employee has not had "close contact" with an individual diagnosed with COVID-19. "Close contact" means living in the same household as a person who has tested positive for COVID-19, caring for a person who has tested positive for COVID-19, being within 6 feet of a person who has tested positive for COVID-19 for 15 minutes or more, or coming in direct contact with secretions (e.g., sharing utensils, being coughed on) from a person who has tested positive for COVID-19, while that person was symptomatic; and
3. Ensuring the employee has not been asked to self-isolate or quarantine by their doctor or a local public health official.

The Safety Standards require that employees who fail to meet these criteria *must* be sent home and may not enter the workplace. To combat close contact and congestion in the workplace during screening, the Safety Standards recommend that businesses:

1. Adjust workplace hours and shifts to minimize contact between employees and congestion at the workplace entry points;
2. Create teams with different schedules or staggered arrivals and/or departures;
3. Limit visitors and on-site service providers; and
4. Designate areas for shipping and deliveries.

The Administration updated its [Sector Specific Workplace Specific Safety Standards for Office Spaces to Address COVID-19](#), which were originally released on May 18.

Workers must continue to wear face coverings when social distancing of 6 feet is impossible (unless the worker has a medical condition or disability). Also, businesses should be sure to maintain a log of workers and customers to support contact tracing efforts if necessary. If the employer is notified of a positive case at the workplace, it is required to notify the local Board of Health and assist as reasonably requested to advise likely contacts of the positive case to isolate and self-quarantine. Offices should maintain operating hours that allow for on-going off-hour sanitation and cleaning. To increase airflow, windows and doors should be opened where possible. Further guidance for office spaces is available at <https://www.mass.gov/info-details/safety-standards-and-checklist-office-spaces>.

As Massachusetts begins carefully reopening, the situation remains fluid. While the Reopening Plan gives a basic structure and a greater degree of certainty to the process, it includes evolving standards that could backtrack if the viral outbreak worsens again. The last phase, Phase IV, should be the resumption of activity as Massachusetts enters the "new normal." The new normal is not simply a return to the status quo from before the COVID-19 pandemic. Credit unions should continue to prepare for new requirements and standards to ensure a safe and healthy working environment.

In other activity of interest, the Department of Public Health rescinded an emergency order addressing operation of grocery stores and pharmacies and related guidance today. The rescission can be found [here](#). This rescinds the [emergency order](#) addressing the operation of grocery stores and pharmacies issued on March 25 and related grocery store [guidance](#) issued April 7. Massachusetts courtrooms will reopen with restrictions on Monday.

Press Questions

Q: The President is threatening to withhold federal aid if schools don't open in the fall, are you concerned about that? What about the ICE guidance on international students?

- A one size fits all approach does not make sense, we are working closely with experts here based on the idea that kids will return to school but we're also developing programs that are remote or hybrid.

Q: Any talk of changing re-opening strategy for the 8 communities with higher rates?

- Depends on what the testing data says; the communities have higher positive test rates but they have also seen dramatic reductions in rate since mid-April.

Q: Are you thinking of adjusting re-opening strategy now that there is consensus around airborne spread?

- Our strategy has been based on people wearing face coverings when they can't distance; we went there in the first place because there was a growing body of evidence that aerosol spread was real.

Q: What about travel restrictions in Maine?

- Governor Baker has spoken to Governor Mills and the public health experts from both states have also shared data. But we have a lot of great places in Massachusetts to vacation.

Smoke Detectors and Carbon Monoxide Inspections

Governor Charlie Baker has signed a new Executive Order, No. 41, which rescinds his previous order, No. 12, deferring smoke and CO2 inspections. The recession is effective today July 10, 2020 and may be found at [Governor's Executive Order Reinstating Licensing Deadlines and Resuming Certain Inspections](#).

Therefore, for purchase and sales agreements signed on or after July 10, 2020, smoke and CO2 inspections must be obtained prior to closing the loan. Requirements prior to the state of emergency have resumed. For transactions underway with a signed purchase and sales agreement, the loan is in process, or has already closed, borrowers have 90 days from July 10th to obtain the smoke and CO2 inspections from the local fire department.

The recission was timed to assist fire departments in tracking the sale and transfer of homes during the period addressed in Executive Order No. 12. Tracking will be used through the use of local Boards of Assessors records and the Massachusetts Secretary of State's website.

Boston Housing Authority Nonessential Eviction Moratorium Extended

The Boston Housing Authority ("BHA") has extended its moratorium on nonessential evictions through the end of the year. The authority implemented the moratorium in March. The measure was aimed at creating housing stability for residents and reducing coronavirus risks associated with the processing eviction cases.

The statewide eviction and foreclosure moratorium is slated to expire on August 18.

Regardless of state action, the BHA will not proceed with any nonessential evictions for the rest of the year, according to officials. Nonessential evictions include as all eviction proceedings excluding ones that are linked to criminal activity and those that are necessary to protect public health. Tenants are still required to perform their contractual obligation to pay their rent.

State Legislative Update

Commonwealth Resilience and Recovery Special Committee

The new Commonwealth Resilience and Recovery Special Committee, led by House Majority Leader Ron Mariano, began its review of some of the bills that lawmakers filed to help workers through the pandemic and as the economy reopens. Pandemic-inspired bills include proposals to provide extra sick time, to provide COVID-19 worker compensation protection to emergency response and medical personnel, and more. Many members of the committee cautioned that it would be foolish to embrace new programs or benefits before they have a better idea of just how dire the state budget picture is. In lieu of a full-year budget, Beacon Hill it appears that lawmakers are prepared to adopt a series of temporary budgets, typically one month's worth of spending at a time, until a decision is made to propose, debate and pass a permanent budget.

Mail-In, Early Voting Options

An expansion of mail-in and early voting in Massachusetts was signed by Governor Baker earlier this week. The new statute requires Secretary of State Bill Galvin to mail applications to all 4.5 million of the state's registered voters by next week. He has run into a dispute with the Legislature over the funding for postage. Under the new law, for the first time in the state's history, all voters who wish to do so will be able to cast a ballot via mail without needing to qualify for an absentee ballot. By July 15, Secretary Galvin's office must send applications for mail-in primary election ballots to all voters. His staff will then need to send another round of applications in September for the general election. The new law also creates the state's first-ever early voting period before a primary election, from August 22 to August 28, and expands general election early voting to run from October 17 to October 30. A timeline to date is:

July 15: Secretary of State Bill Galvin mails applications to households by this date to request mail-in ballots for the primary.

August 22: Early voting begins.

August 28: Early voting ends.

September 1: Voting Day.

Massachusetts Commissioner of Banks Webinar

A review of recent staff changes at the Division of Banks will be provided fostering an important opportunity to increase awareness of resources and responsibilities, as well as strengthening relationships. This webinar will feature a timely update on the health of the credit union industry, insights into regulatory, supervisory and consumer compliance developments, and more.

Webinar Details

Thursday, July 23, 2020
3:00 pm - 4:00 pm

Webinar Registration

To attend, please register by sending the attendee name, title and credit union to govaff-reg@ccua.org. A confirmation email will be sent to attendees upon registration and an access link and instructions will be sent prior to the webinar. This meeting is offered as a complimentary, member exclusive benefit and is free of charge. Credit union chief executive officers, senior management, staff, and directors are invited to join the webinar. All member state and federally-chartered credit unions are welcome.

Webinar Questions

Advance questions are welcome and may also be sent to govaff-reg@ccua.org. During the webinar, the chat room function will be used and questions will be addressed live as time permits. The Association will oversee responses to all member inquiries that are raised, but remain unanswered, following the conclusion of the webinar.

NCUA Update

Eastern Region Webinar

The Association is hosting a virtual meeting with NCUA featuring Eastern Regional Director, John Kutchey, who will share an update on NCUA and their continued actions in response to the pandemic. Participants are invited to submit questions and observations they would like NCUA to address. Questions can be emailed to communications@ccua.org prior to and during the session.

A Dialogue with NCUA

Thursday, July 16, 2020
2:00 pm - 3:00 pm

There is no cost to participate in this regulatory forum, but registration is required. [Click HERE](#) to register. Instructions will be sent to registered participants.

Field-of-Membership

<https://www.ncua.gov/regulation-supervision/letters-credit-unions-other-guidance/field-membership-rural-districts>

20-CU-20/July 2020

Letter to Credit Unions 20-CU-21 provides guidance following the U.S. Supreme Court's June 29 rejection of an appeal by the American Bankers Association of a lower court's decision on the field-of-membership rules. In addition to the postponed rural district membership applications, NCUA will begin accepting new rural applications also immediately. Federal credit unions with a rural district community charter are eligible to apply. NCUA is also in the process of reinstating rural districts for 18 credit unions that had these removed due to the lawsuit. The NCUA's Office of Credit Union Resources and

Expansion has contacted these credit unions to confirm the reinstatement so that no further action will be required by these credit unions.

A proposed area would generally qualify as a rural district if it has well-defined, contiguous geographic boundaries, and the total population of the proposed district does not exceed one million. Federal credit unions seeking additional information should refer to [Appendix B to Part 701—Chartering and Field of Membership Manual; Chapter 2, Field of Membership Requirements for Federal Credit Unions, V—Community Charter Requirements](#).

Reasonable Proximity Opinion Letter

<https://www.ncua.gov/regulation-supervision/legal-opinions/2020/reasonable-proximity-analysis>

The NCUA was asked whether the term “reasonable proximity,” as used in the Federal Credit Union Act (“Act”) and as interpreted by NCUA regulations, includes a geographic limitation that is a specific distance. The Act permits the NCUA to add a group to the field-of-membership of a multiple common bond federal credit union when it is neither “practicable” nor “consistent with reasonable standards for the safe and sound operation of the credit union” for a group to charter its own single common bond credit union. The credit union seeking to add the group must be “within *reasonable proximity* to the location of the group whenever practicable and consistent with” those standards of safety and soundness.

NCUA has recently determined that there is no statutory constraint on the term “reasonable proximity” that would impose a limit such as a maximum distance between the location of the group and the location of the FCU. Consistent with the Act and legislative history, the NCUA has always viewed “reasonable proximity” as including a geographic component, but NCUA will continue to assess this geographic component on a case-by-case basis free of a mileage limit.

Automated Loan Underwriting System-Segregation of Duties for Loan Officers Opinion Letter

<https://www.ncua.gov/regulation-supervision/legal-opinions/2020/automated-loan-underwriting-system-segregation-duties-loan-officers>

NCUA was asked if §1761c(b) of the Federal Credit Union Act (“Act”) prohibits a member service representative of a federal credit union from inputting data into its automated loan underwriting system (“ALUS”) and then disbursing the funds if the ALUS approves the loan. The Act does not prohibit such a scenario, provided appropriate controls and safeguards are in place.

Subordinated Debt Comment Letter

The Association submitted a comment letter to the NCUA on its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (“NPR”) relative to subordinated debt. This NPR addresses subordinated debt authority, restrictions, and requirements for new, complex, and low-income credit unions (“LICUs”). The comment letter may be found [HERE](#).

Conference Call on SBA Lending Programs July 14

[Register Now for the July 14 Conference Call on SBA Lending Programs](#)

NCUA is hosting a conference call to provide updates to the Small Business Administration’s lending programs, including the Paycheck Protection Program on Tuesday, July 14 at 3:00 pm Eastern. The SBA recently made several updates to the PPP loan program, including a streamlined loan forgiveness application and updated FAQs. The application period for the

PPP loan program was also extended until August 8, 2020. Registration for this conference call is now open, but the number of participants is limited. Registrants will receive an email containing a personalized access link. Participants may join the call starting at 2:30 pm Eastern.

CFPB Update

Representative Payee Guidance

https://www.consumerfinance.gov/about-us/blog/guide-covid-19-economic-stimulus-checks/?utm_source=newsletter&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=OA_EIP#representative-payees

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”) has released Guidance, in a Question and Answer format, for representative payees of a Social Security or Supplemental Security Income (SSI) beneficiary. A representative payee is only responsible for managing Social Security or SSI benefits. The Economic Impact Payment is not an SSA benefit and it belongs to the beneficiary. Representative payees should discuss the payment with the beneficiary, when possible. If the beneficiary requests access to the funds, then they are obligated to provide it.

Final Rule on Small Dollar Lending

https://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/documents/cfpb_payday_final-rule-2020-revocation.pdf

The CFPB **released** final **amendments** to its small-dollar lending rule published in November 2017, specifically repealing the mandatory underwriting provisions of the rule. The CFPB did not rescind or alter the payments provisions of the 2017 Rule, and instead **ratified** those provisions and will move forward to implement those provisions. Mandatory underwriting provisions. The mandatory underwriting provisions of the 2017 Rule required lenders to assess borrowers’ ability to repay, verify borrowers’ incomes, and furnish certain information regarding payday loans to registered information systems, among other things. The CFPB based its decision to repeal the mandatory underwriting provisions on “the insufficient legal and evidentiary bases for the 2017 rule’s mandatory underwriting provisions.” It also noted that its action “will help to ensure the continued availability of small dollar lending products for consumers who demand them, including those who may have a particular need for such products as a result of the current pandemic.”

Payment provisions. The final amendments do not rescind or amend the payments provisions of the 2017 Rule. Instead, the CFPB issued a **ratification** of the payment provisions of the 2017 Rule in response to the U.S. Supreme Court’s recent decision in *Seila Law*. The CFPB denied a petition to commence a rulemaking to exclude debit and prepaid cards from the payments provisions of the small dollar lending rule, and issued limited **guidance** in the form of FAQs clarifying the payments provisions’ scope and assisting lenders in complying with those provisions. The CFPB indicated that it “is continuing to monitor and assess the effects of the Payment Provisions, including their scope, and the agency may determine whether further action is needed in light of what it learns.”

In connection with the finalization of these amendments, the CFPB published (i) a **redline** of the effect of these amendments to the 2017 Rule, (ii) an **executive summary** of the amendments, (iii) an updated small entity payday lending rule **compliance guide**, and (iv) payday lending **FAQs**.

Debt Collection Rules

The CFPB **announced** that it plans to publish final debt collection rules in October 2020. The final rules will be the first rules clarifying the nearly 40-year-old Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA) and are expected to address a variety of topics including:

- Communications with borrowers;
- Guidance on what constitutes harassment or abuse, false or misleading representations, and unfair practices; and
- Disclosures (including time-barred debt disclosures).

In the proposed rule that was published in May 2019, the CFPB proposed a one-year implementation before the debt collection rules would become effective. If they incorporate the same implementation period in the final rule so that it becomes effective one year after publication in the Federal Register, it likely could become effective as early as October or November 2021.

Ratification of Prior Regulations

https://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/documents/cfpb_ratification_bureau-actions_2020-07.pdf

The CFPB has ratified the majority of its existing regulations, as well as certain other guidance, to address any potential defect in the validity of CFPB's prior actions under Article II of the Constitution. CFPB ratification follows a Supreme Court ruling in the case of *Selia Law LLC v. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau*, in which the Court held that the "for cause" removal protection in [12 U.S.C. 5491\(c\)](#) enjoyed by CFPB's single Director violated the Constitution's separation of powers. The Court, however, expressly declined to address the impact of its ruling on prior agency actions.

With the ratification, CFPB is attempting to "resolve any possible uncertainty" regarding the validity of its prior actions. Specifically, the CFPB "has decided to ratify a number of official actions from January 4, 2012 to June 30, 2020," including:

- each CFPB-published document categorized under "Rules and Regulations" by the Federal Register, except the July 2017 "Arbitration Agreements" rule and the November 2017 "Payday, Vehicle, and Certain High-Cost Installment Loans" rule;
- each CFPB-issued consumer information publication under Regulation X and Z;
- each "Fair Credit Reporting Act Disclosures" notice;
- the official approval titled "Final Redesigned Uniform Residential Loan Application Status Under Regulation B";
- the preemption determination titled "Electronic Fund Transfers, Determination of Effect on State Laws (Maine and Tennessee)"; and
- CFPB's concurrences with series of rules by the Federal banking agencies titled "Real Estate Appraisals."

As for other agency actions, CFPB "is considering whether ratifications of certain other legally significant actions, such as certain pending enforcement actions, are appropriate." CFPB stated that it will make such ratifications separately, and that it does not believe ratification is necessary for previous CFPB actions that "have no legal consequences for the public, or enforcement actions that have been finally resolved." The ratification is effective July 10, 2020.

The *Selia Law LLC* opinion really means that with each new President, it is expected that the director will be removed and replaced with a director that shares the same political views and ambitions as the new administration. This is similar to how a newly elected President appoints cabinet members. In turn, the CFPB likely will shift its regulatory and enforcement focus with each change in administration. With the 2020 election fast-approaching, a potential shift in the CFPB is on the horizon.

Regulation Z Escrow Exemption for High Priced Loans

https://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/documents/cfpb_proposed-rule_hpml-escrow-exemption_2020-07.pdf

The CFPB has issued a proposed notice of rulemaking (“NPRM”) to amend Regulation Z, as required by the *Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act*, and exempt certain insured depository institutions and credit unions from the requirement to establish escrow accounts for certain higher-priced mortgage loans (“HPMLs”). Under the proposed amendment, any loan made by an insured depository institution or credit union that is secured by a first lien on the principal dwelling of a consumer would be exempt from Regulation Z’s HPML escrow requirement if (i) the institution has assets of no more than \$10 billion; (ii) “the institution and its affiliates originated 1,000 or fewer loans secured by a first lien on a principal dwelling during the preceding calendar year”; and (iii) the institution meets certain existing HPML escrow exemption criteria. Comments on the NPRM will be accepted for 60 days following publication in the *Federal Register*.

Consumer Protection Week

The CFPB plans a week of virtual events on July 14 through July 17 that will focus on how it is protecting consumers in the financial marketplace. Also addressed will be the issues consumers are confronting, as well as informing consumers of how they can communicate any issues that they may have with a financial services provider.

The [full schedule of events](#) are available on the CFPB’s website as well as the opportunity to [register for individual events](#).

Financial Crimes Enforcement Network Update

Hemp Guidance

The U.S. Treasury Department, through its Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (“FinCEN”), has issued new guidance to banks and credit unions that, in short, hemp companies should be treated like just about everyone else, except for non-hemp cannabis companies known as marijuana-related businesses. This broad, definitive statement from FinCEN is intended to open up banking and credit. The guidance is very focused on customer due diligence. This includes not only confirming proper licensing, but also that the customer is complying with state laws and not otherwise dealing with non-hemp cannabis.

FinCEN and other federal regulators clarified that financial institutions are not required to file a SAR regarding a hemp customer “solely because” the customer grows or cultivates hemp. The guidance reiterates that the 2018 Farm Bill removed “hemp” from the definition of marijuana in the Controlled Substances Act and recommends that financial institutions conduct risk-based customer due diligence on any hemp-related business, as they should for all customers. The guidance relates specifically to businesses or individuals that grow hemp, and processors or manufacturers who purchase hemp directly from such growers, and does not replace or supersede FinCEN’s earlier guidance regarding marijuana-related businesses operating in violation of the CSA.

The new guidance builds on the December guidance by (1) clarifying a financial institution’s customer due diligence (“CDD”) and customer identification program (“CIP”) obligations with respect to its hemp customers, and (2) providing examples of “suspicious activity” that may prompt a financial institution to file a SAR regarding one of its hemp customers.

Customer Due Diligence and Customer Identification Programs

The guidance makes clear that financial institutions should tailor the customer risk profiles of and CDD for their hemp clients to reflect the unique aspects of the hemp industry. For example, when performing CDD on a hemp customer, a financial institution should verify

the customer is complying with the licensing requirements of the jurisdiction in which it is operating. The guidance states that an institution can “confirm [a] hemp grower’s compliance ... by either obtaining (1) a written attestation by the hemp grower that they are validly licensed, or (2) a copy of such license.” Whether additional information is required “will depend on the financial institution’s assessment of the level of risk posed by” the customer. The guidance provides the following examples of additional information a financial institution could seek: (1) crop inspection or testing reports; (2) license renewals; (3) updated attestations from the hemp customer; or (4) the customer’s correspondence with the applicable state, tribal, or federal licensing authority.

Suspicious Activity Reporting

The guidance states that “financial institutions are not required to file an SAR on customers solely because they are engaged in the growth or cultivation of hemp in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.” Financial institutions still must utilize their customer risk profiles and ongoing CDD to determine whether their hemp customers are engaged in “suspicious activity” that warrants a SAR. The guidance lists examples of such suspicious activity:

1. “A customer appears to be engaged in hemp production in a state or jurisdiction in which hemp production remains illegal.”
2. “A customer appears to be using a state-licensed hemp business as a front or pretext to launder money derived from other criminal activity or derived from marijuana-related activity that may not be permitted under applicable law.”
3. “A customer engaged in hemp production seeks to conceal or disguise involvement in marijuana-related business activity.”
4. “The customer is unable or unwilling to certify or provide sufficient information to demonstrate that it is duly licensed and operating consistent with applicable law, or the financial institution becomes aware that the customer continues to operate (i) after a license revocation, or (ii) inconsistently with applicable law.”

The guidance also speaks to a financial institution’s SAR obligations with respect to a customer involved with both federally legal hemp and federally illegal marijuana. If the customer’s hemp and marijuana proceeds are commingled in the same account, then the institution must file a marijuana-specific SAR based on “[FinCEN’s 2014 Marijuana Guidance](#).” If the hemp and marijuana proceeds are kept in separate accounts or are separately identifiable, “then the 2014 Marijuana Guidance, including specific SAR filing, applies only to the marijuana-related part of the business.”

Many financial institutions have remained hesitant to provide services to the hemp industry, due in large part to the perceived burden of incorporating hemp-specific procedures into their AML Compliance Programs. The guidance may alleviate that burden to an extent by providing additional clarity regarding an institution’s BSA/AML obligations when banking hemp.

FinCEN Fraud Alert

[https://www.fincen.gov/sites/default/files/advisory/2020-07-07/Advisory %20Imposter and Money Mule COVID 19 508 FINAL.pdf](https://www.fincen.gov/sites/default/files/advisory/2020-07-07/Advisory%20Imposter%20and%20Money%20Mule%20COVID%2019%20508%20FINAL.pdf)

Credit union members and others have become more vulnerable to scams during the COVID-19 pandemic. The FinCEN advisory provides detailed instructions for financial institutions to report COVID-19-related imposter scams and money mule schemes in suspicious activity reports.

Imposter Scams. Imposter scams involve criminals that seek payments or personal information via email, robocalls, or text messages while impersonating a government

agency or charity. FinCEN cited scams in which criminals pose as representatives of the Internal Revenue Service, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the World Health Organization, other non-profit groups, or academic institutions. FinCEN warned that imposters may attempt to obtain personal details by claiming that the information is needed to process a COVID-19-related stimulus payment, or as part of contact tracing efforts.

Money Mule Schemes. Money mules are "person[s] who transfer illegally acquired money on behalf of or at the direction of another." FinCEN identified schemes that involve criminals recruiting money mules by posing as "good Samaritans," romantic interests, or employers with work-from-home opportunities.

FinCEN advised financial institutions to identify and report these fraudulent activities.

PPP Update

President Trump has signed into law the bill passed by the U.S. Congress that extends the application deadline for the Paycheck Protection Program ("PPP") from June 30, 2020 to August 8, 2020.

As a reminder, the PPP has undergone significant changes with respect to loan forgiveness. Unfortunately, the SBA has not defined the term "owner-employee", nor has the SBA provided any guidance to help participants determine if the term "owner-employee" is intended to extend to any employee who owns *any* portion, even a nominal amount, of the equity interests of the PPP borrower. The [instructions to the updated loan forgiveness application](#) direct the PPP borrower to exclude any "owner-employees" from the list of employees identified on the Schedule A Worksheet, but no rubric is provided to guide a borrower in making the distinction between an "owner-employee" and "employee". This lack of clarity as to which employee should be deemed an "owner-employee" is problematic for PPP borrowers seeking to maximize forgiveness because the per *non-owner* employee cap for the 24-week forgiveness period is \$46,154 whereas the per owner-employee cap is over 50% lower.

Lawmakers are already in discussions for Phase 4 about how to revise the PPP to make it more accessible to smaller companies and those most in need, such as businesses in the travel and restaurant industries. It is expected that Congress will take up these new measures after their break through July 20th but before they adjourn in August.

Power of Attorney Update

An overview of state requirements may be found at [Presentation PowerPoint Slides](#).